

Previous references.

1901

File No. 84.

191

431 of 1892

(Police

Serial Nos. 1-2

Later references.
'Dep 418-3'

600

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1 to 2

Part B for

July 1902

SUBJECT.

Recommendations for promotions or
punishments of Amildars.

From . I. & J. Police.

No 5412 of 2.6.02
377

Requests to inform if the orders of Government
conveyed in Form 10 of Govt Pro No 9118-28 of
the 11th December 1892 to the effect that
recommendations for promotions or punishments
of Amildars should be submitted through
his office is also cancelled.

J. G. J. Notice
by Court of Dist.

1936-43 of 30.7.02.
J 168

With reference to sl 1, observes that the
order ~~referred herein~~ of the 24th April
1902, was not intended to affect the
order no 7118-28 of 11th December
9508-92
1892, which must be deemed to be
in force.

(Indexed)

Mirza Nazeer Hussain

Note

(S.No-32 of R.7 55 of 1896-97)

Government in their order No. R:3551-90 dated the 14th February 1902, directed that the Deputy Commissioners should, in cases when they have to recommend subordinates of other Departments than Revenue for appointments, under them invariably send up such recommendations through the heads of the Departments concerned.

Govt Pro: No. R 4772-812 of 24/6/02

(S.No: 34 of R.7 55 of 1896-97)

In modification of the above, it was ordered upon the suggestion of the Chief Court that the Deputy Commissioners themselves do make the recommendations ^{in such cases} direct to Government after consultation and obtaining the consent of the head of the Department.

in which the nominal is employed.

With reference to the above order, the ^{Offg.} Inspector General of Police enquires (in his letter No. 5412 dated the 2nd June 1902)

377

whether the orders of Govt conveyed in para 10 of Govt Proceedings No. $\frac{9118-28}{8508-92}$ of the 11th

December 1892 to the effect that

commendations for promotions

punishments of Amildars

would be subd through him

also cancelled.

The principle ^{on} under which

the latter order was passed

seems to be quite different

in the present order. In

12, the then Inspector General

explained that the good or bad

work of the Amildars in the

Police Dept ^{did} does not invariably

(S. No. 10 of G. O. 204 of 1892).

3 receive ^{at} the hands of Govt the
~~should~~ treatment which it ^{an} deserve
hence it was ordered that re-
commendations for promotion
or punishment of Amildars
should be subd through the
J.G. of Police so as to enable
the latter to forward it to
Government after recording
his opinion of the Amildar
discharge of Police duties.

The present order refers to
the appointments of subordinates
of other Depts as Amildars
by Amildars and the Dy Com
are directed to in such cases
to refer to the Head of the
Dept concerned for opinion,
before submitting recommendations
to Govt.

Subd for order.

~~SAR
3-10-02~~ The two proceedings
do not seem to have
any connection with
each other. The one
relates to candidates
for posts of Amildars & Dy

Amildars and the other relate
to the promotion of persons
who are already Amildars.

Submitted with a draft -
for approval & orders

Phi
3/7/02

The two orders were
evidently intended not
to have any connection
with each other. But that

Proceedings No. R 4772-812 D No 36 in file 559/1886-7,
dated 26th April 02 &

~~Proceeding No. R 3551st 90 D No 30 in D.~~

It relates only to
Subordinates of other
Departments than Revenue
and cannot therefore apply
to Amildars and Deputy
Amildars, who are primarily
subordinates of the Revenue
Department. Para 10 of

Govt. Proceedings No. 9118-18 . D No 10 of J. 72049/1892

of the 11th Dec 1892 will
therefore still continue
to apply to them. Submitted
with a draft for approval
in other orders

Phi
3/7/02

The order quoted by the
Insp^r sent does not affect
that of 1892 which direc-
ted recommendations for
promotion ^{of Amildars} to be sent through
the I. G. of Police. But

No. III

The over collection
no 83 of (Police)
has been sent to
Mr. Garudachon
for diary no: 2757 of
1915-16.

it is worth considering
whether the latter shd
not be expressly can-
celled. The other heads
of Dep^{ts} with which the
Amildar has subordi-
nate relations (~~These~~
such as the Chief Const,
Excise Comm^r - &c) are
not given an opportu-
nity of expressing opi-
nions on questions of
promotion. If so, why
should the Police Insp^t
not have it? It is
enough if all unfavour-
able reports are noted
and considered by Gov^t
When dealing with
promotions.

Submitted to the De-
wan for orders -

24/7.

Hing

7.02
3.58

628

I am not concerned
of the necessity for
disturbing the
peace - The
orders of 1882 & 1884

was not quite called
for in this view -
I reply may be sent
that that order was
and intended to affect
the order referred to
of the 28 of 8 Blue which
must be deemed to be
in force - all such
handy orders must
be however submitted
to me for approval
expressive

Issue accpts 25. VII. 22
2. H. this & note.

28/7

- 1) Put up for app.
- 2) The several remarks may be copied & circulated to the different depts of the Sect.

28.7.22

1. Submitted with a draft
2. Attended to

SHP
28/7/22

287. *J* 1024
1902
1907/1902

THE INSPECTOR GENERAL
OF POLICE IN MYSORE.

To *19*
THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,
GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPTS.

J. I. No 204 of 1892-3
is the subject put up.

6/02

Section <i>Police</i>	Dated
File No <i>84-07</i>	Despatched <i>2^d June 1902</i>
Serial No <i>1</i>	Received
	General No. <i>5412</i>
	No. <i>-377</i>
	Enclosure No.

Requesting to be kindly
informed if the orders
of Government conveyed
in Para 10 of Govt. Pro.
No *9118-28*
J. 508-92 of the 11th December 1901
to the effect that recom-
mendations for promotion
or punishments of Amildars
should be submitted through
this office is also Cancelled

God
S. 6. 12

MGCPB-5.3-01-1000

*Recd from records with
SR complete ref
20/6/02*

OFFICE OF THE
INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE IN MYSORE.

No. 5412
377

DATED Bangalore 2nd ~~10~~
June 1902

Enc.

FROM

B. K. Venkataradaiengar Esq.,

Offg ~~THE~~ INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE IN MYSORE.

TO

H. V. Nanjundayya Esq, M.A. M.S.
~~THE~~ SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,
in the GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS.

SIR,

With reference to Govern-
ment Proceedings No: $\frac{4772}{812}$ of the 24th ~~of~~
April 1902 stating that, in supersession of
the orders contained in no: R $\frac{3551}{90}$ of the 14th,
January 1902, the Deputy Commissioners while making
recommendations ^{on behalf of those serving in other Depts} for appointments in the Revenue
Department, are to submit them direct to Govern-
ment after consulting and obtaining the consent
of the Heads of the Departments concerned, I
reg to be kindly informed if the orders of
Government conveyed in para 10 of Govern-
ment Proceedings no: $\frac{9118-28}{9508-92}$ of the 11th
December 1892 to the effect that recommendations

for promotions or punishments of Amildars —
should be submitted through this Office, is
also cancelled thereby. —

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

your most obedient servant



Offg. Inspector General of Police
G.P.

Letter No. 5412 dated
377
22nd July 1902, from the Inspector
General of Police in Mysore
requesting to be informed
whether the injunctive con-
tained in para 10 of Govt

ction	<u>Police</u>	Proceedings No. <u>9118-28</u> date
No	<u>84-57</u>	<u>9508-92</u>
erial No	<u>2</u>	the 11 th December 1892 to the

effect that recommendations
for promotions or punishment
of Amildars should be sub-
mitted through him is to
be regarded as cancelled
Govt Proceedings No. R 4772
812 dated 24th April 1902
directing that the Deputy
Commissioners themselves should
submit direct to Government

other department
instruments in the Revenue

ment after consulting
and obtaining the consent of
the Heads of Departments con-
cerned.

Order thereon No. 1936-48 of 30/7/02
188

Police
84-01
2

It must be observed that
their order of the 24th April 1902
was not intended to affect
the order referred to by the
Inspector General of Police,
viz No. 9118-28 dated the 11th
9508-92
December 1892, which must
be deemed to be in force.

See -

May thus be printed and

communicated to the Secy, Govt.
of Dist for information
Secretary
SH
28/7/02
The I. G. of Police in Mysore
The Dy Commisaries

Proceedings of the Government of Mysore, Judicial, dated 30th July 1902.

READ —

Letter No. 5412—377, dated 22nd June 1902, from the Inspector General of Police in Mysore, requesting to be informed whether the injunction contained in para 10 of Government Proceedings No. 9118-28—J. 508-92, dated the 11th December 1892, to the effect that recommendations for promotions or punishments of Amildars should be submitted through him, is to be regarded as cancelled by Government Proceedings No. R. 4772-812, dated 24th April 1902 directing that the Deputy Commissioners themselves should submit direct to Government recommendations of officials serving in other departments for appointments in the Revenue Department after consulting and obtaining the consent of the Heads of Departments concerned

No. 1936-43—J. 168, DATED BANGALORE, 30TH JULY 1902.

ORDER THEREON.—Government observe that their order of the 24th April 1902 was not intended to affect the order referred to by the Inspector General of Police No. 9118-28—J. 508-92, dated the 11th December 1892, which must be deemed to be in force.

H. V. NANJUNDAYYA,
Secy. to Govt., Gen. & Rev. Depts.

To—The Inspector General of Police in Mysore.
The Deputy Commissioners of Districts.

1902-03.

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

PROCEEDINGS

Dated 30th July 1902

No. 1936-43
J. 168

Observing that Government order No. R. 4772-812, dated 24th April 1902, was not intended to affect para 10 of Government Proceedings No. 9118-28—J. 508-92, dated 11th December 1892, under which recommendations for promotions or punishments of Amildars should be submitted to Government through the Inspector General of Police.